

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/725,128	11/29/2000	Duk-Yong Kim	P20299	9046	
7055 75	90 11/18/2003		EXAM	EXAMINER	
GREENBLUM & BERNSTEIN, P.L.C. 1950 ROLAND CLARKE PLACE			DAVIS, TEMICA M		
RESTON, VA		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
,			2681	4	
			DATE MAILED: 11/18/2003	₃ /	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				de			
*		Appli	cation No.	Applicant(s)			
		09/7:	25,128	KIM ET AL.			
Office Action Summary		Exam	niner	Art Unit			
		Temi	ca M. Davis	2681			
Period f	The MAILING DATE of this commor Reply	nunication appears of	n the cover sheet v	vith the correspondence ac	ldress		
THE - External control	MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMU ensions of time may be available under the provise of SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this content of the provise period for reply specified above is less than this of period for reply is specified above, the maximulare to reply within the set or extended period for reply received by the Office later than three montend patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b)	JNICATION. ions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In ommunication. ty (30) days, a reply within th m statutory period will apply a eply will, by statute, cause th ths after the mailing date of th	no event, however, may a e statutory minimum of thi and will expire SIX (6) MO e application to become A	reply be timely filed rty (30) days will be considered timel NTHS from the mailing date of this c	ly. ommunication.		
1)[Responsive to communication(s)	filed on 29 Novemb	er 2000.				
<i>,</i> —	This action is FINAL .	2b)⊠ This action					
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposit	ion of Claims	,	,,,	.,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,			
·	Claim(s) 1-46 is/are pending in th	e application.					
,	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)⊠	Claim(s) 10-31 is/are allowed.						
6)⊠	Claim(s) 1-3 and 32-46 is/are reje	ected.					
7)	Claim(s) 4-9 and 35-41 is/are objection	ected to.					
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to res	triction and/or election	on requirement.				
Applicat	ion Papers						
9)[The specification is objected to by	the Examiner.					
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/a	re: a) <u>□</u> accepted o	r b)□ objected to	by the Examiner.			
	Applicant may not request that any ol	ojection to the drawing	(s) be held in abeya	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) include						
11)	The oath or declaration is objected	I to by the Examiner	. Note the attache	d Office Action or form PT	O-152.		
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
12)	Acknowledgment is made of a cla	im for foreign priority	under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).			
a)	All b) Some * c) None of		h a a a a a a a a a a a a				
	 Certified copies of the priori Certified copies of the priori Copies of the certified copies application from the Interna 	ity documents have less of the priority docu	been received in A uments have been	pplication No received in this National	Stage		
13)∐ A	see the attached detailed Office ac acknowledgment is made of a clain nce a specific reference was inclu-	tion for a list of the c n for domestic priorit	ertified copies not y under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(e) (to a provisional	application)		
3	7 CFR 1.78.				Data Oneet.		
	The translation of the foreign						
re	cknowledgment is made of a clain ference was included in the first so	n for domestic priority strength	y under 35 U.S.C. fication or in an Ap	§§ 120 and/or 121 since application Data Sheet. 37 (a specific CFR 1.78.		
Attachmen	i(s)						
2) 🔲 Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review		5) D Notice of I	Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s nformal Patent Application (PTO			
3) 🔲 Intorn	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449)	Paper No(s)	6) L Other:	•			

Art Unit: 2681

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 2. Claims 33-41 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- 3. Claim 33 recites the limitation "setting the number of switches, the number of switches power divider/combiners and the ports based on the number of dynamic..." in lines 1-3. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 5. Claims 1-3, 32, 42 and 44 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Karlsson et al (Karlsson), U.S. Patent No. 6,018,663.

Art Unit: 2681

Regarding claim 1, Karlsson discloses a system for allocating frequency allocations (FAs) to each of N sectors in a base transceiver station (BTS) for use in a mobile communication system, N being a positive integer, comprising: means for determining d# and f#, each representing the number of dynamic FAs and the number of fixed FAs, respectively; an array of sector amplifiers; and means for switchably connecting the dynamic FAs to the sector amplifiers (col. 4, lines 35-65, col. 5, line 48-col. 6, line 29).

Regarding claim 2, Karlsson discloses the system of claim 1, further comprising; an array of combiners for combining the dynamic FAs and the fixed FAs and outputting into d# output signals (col. 5, line 48-col. 6, line 29).

Regarding claim 3, Karlsson discloses the system of claim 2, wherein each of the sector amplifiers amplifies a corresponding output signal (col. 5, line 48-col. 6, line 29).

Regarding claim 32, Karlsson discloses a method for allocating FAs to N sectors of a service area in a base transceiver station (BTS) for use in a wireless communication system, N being a positive integer, comprising the steps of: a) grouping said N sectors into a plurality of small groups based on subscriber's information; and b) determining the number of dynamic FAs and the number of fixed FAs for each small group based on the subscriber's information (col. 4, lines 35-65, col. 5, line 48-col. 6, line 29, col. 1, lines 61-66).

Regarding claim 42, Karlsson discloses the method of claim 32, and further reads on wherein the subscriber's information is a call request information corresponding to each sector as evidenced by the fact the system can handle an increase to telephone traffic (col. 1, lines 61-64, col. 6, lines 41-43).

Art Unit: 2681

Regarding claim 44, Karlsson discloses the method of claim 32, wherein the subscriber's information is the number of subscribers located in each sector of a target base station (col. 1, lines 61-64, col. 6, lines 41-43).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 7. Claims 43, 45 and 46 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Karlsson.

Regarding claims 43 and 45, Karlsson discloses the method of claim 42 as described above.

Karlsson, however, fails to disclose wherein the call request information is retrieved from a mobile switching center.

The examiner contends, however, that such a feature is well known in the art.

Therefore, at the time of invention, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to modify Karlsson to include an MSC to provide such subscriber information since such techniques are well known in the art.

Regarding claim 46, Karlsson discloses the method of claim 32 as described above.

Art Unit: 2681

Karlsson, however, fails to disclose wherein N equals 6 so as to apply to a BTS for use in IMT 2000 communication system.

The examiner contends however, that at the time of invention, such a feature would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art since it has been held that where the general conditions of a claim are discloses in prior art, discovering the optimum or workable ranges involves only routine skill in the art.

Allowable Subject Matter

8. Claims 4-9 and 35-41 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: Regarding claim 4, prior art fails to suggest or render obvious a system wherein each of the sector amplifiers includes: a switchable divider for switchably dividing the corresponding signal; a number of multi-carrier power amplifiers (MCPAs) for amplifying the divided signal; and a switchable combiner for switchably combining the amplified signal.

Regarding claims 5-9, they are indicated allowable based on their dependence from allowable claim 4.

Regarding claim 35, prior art fails to suggest or render obvious a method, wherein said amplifying step d) includes the steps of: d1) combining the dynamic and the fixed FAs by using an array of fixed combiners; d2) switchably dividing the

Art Unit: 2681

combined FAs by using an array of switchable dividers; d3) amplifying the combined signals by using a multiple number of multi-carrier power amplifiers (MCPAs); and d4) combining the amplified FAs into s# output signals to be sent to sectors in a corresponding small group, respectively, by using an array of switchable combiners, s# representing the number of total FAs per small group. Note: The rejection to claims 33-41 must be overcome before this claim can be allowed.

Regarding claims 36-41, they are indicated allowable based on their dependence from allowable claim 35.

- 9. Claims 10-31 are allowed.
- 10. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: Regarding claim 10, prior art fails to suggest or render obvious a base station (BS) for allocating FAs to each of N sectors incorporated therein, wherein N is a positive integer, comprising: a controller for grouping N sectors into M small groups and determining d and f for a small group, M being positive integer, d and f representing the number of dynamic FAs and the number of fixed FAs, respectively; d number of combiners for combining the fixed FAs and the dynamic FAs for said each small group and outputting d number of signals; d number of switchable power divider/combiners; and d number of first switches for selectively switching the output signals to the switchable power divider/combiners amplify signals inputted thereto at the same power level.

Art Unit: 2681

Conclusion

11. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Ahmadi et al, U.S. Patent No. 5,613,198, discloses a multi-access scheme for mobile integrated local area networks.

12. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Temica M. Davis whose telephone number is (703) 306-5837. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:00am-3:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Sinh Tran can be reached on (703) 305-4040. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 306-0377.

TMD

November 15, 2003

TEMICA M. DAVIS

Page 7